

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,504	08/19/2003	Colin Hendrick	16045-4	5508
28221	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSELAND,	-		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ist	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,504	HENDRICK, COLIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 and 31 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/643,504

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of the amendment filed on 6/01/06. Claims 1-23 and 31 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 22, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmost et al. (US 6,424,845) (hereinaster referred to as 'Emmost') in view of Robertson (US 6,695,215), in view of Everett (US 6,237,848), and in view Barrett et al. (US 6,015,093) (hereinaster to as 'Barrett').

Emmost teaches an intelligent ID card holder (hand-held communication device 10) to authenticate a user of an intelligent ID card (smart card 23) and method of using the intelligent ID card holder comprising (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 21):

a receptacle including two major surfaces with an opening formed between the two surfaces to accept the intelligent ID card into the sleeve;

a plurality of contacts exposed on the inside of the receptacle to make electrical contact with the intelligent ID card (col. 2, lines 14-17);



Application/Control Number: 10/643,504

Art Unit: 2876

flash memory coupled to the microcomputer (transaction processor 20) to hold user feature data;

a user feature sensor (recognition device 14) mounted on the outside of the receptacle and coupled to the microcomputer to authenticate a user;

a programmed microcomputer mounted on or within one of the surfaces to control the intelligent ID card holder, and to compare a user's sensed feature to a stored user feature, wherein a positive comparison enables the intelligent ID card, or communicates authorization to an outside device or process based on the positive comparison (figure 2 and col. 2, line 61-col. 3, line 11);

the holder wherein the user feature sensor is a finger print sensor mounted on the outside of the receptacle and coupled to the microcomputer to authenticate a user;

the holder wherein the user feature is a chemical sensor mounted on the outside of the receptacle and coupled to the microcomputer to authenticate the user;

the holder further comprising a visual indicator to indicate a positive match;

the holder further comprising an LCD screen (LCD 12) to communicate information to the user;

the holder wherein the LCD screen displays labels in the vicinity of one or more smart keys to show the function of the one or more keys;

the holder wherein the LCD screen has touch sensitive areas and additionally serves as a key pad for user input;

the holder further comprising a radio frequency (RF section) and antenna (antenna 15) to transmit a signal to the outside device;

Application/Control Number: 10/643,504

Art Unit: 2876

the holder wherein the signal is a secure code (col. 4, lines 20-22);

the holder wherein the outside device is a door lock;

the holder wherein the outside device is a building security system;

the holder further comprising one or more keys (cursor controls 16 and 17) mounted on the outside of one of the surfaces of the receptacle and coupled to the microcomputer for user input;

the holder further comprising a microphone (microphone 13) on the outside of one of the surfaces of the receptacle and coupled to the microprocessor;

the holder further comprising a universal serial port (USB) connection to another computer;

the holder wherein the intelligent ID card is a smartcard; and

the method comprising permitting the user to log into a computer system (personal computer 36).

Emmost lacks the teaching of the ID card receptacle including a cut away section for viewing substantially all of a side of the ID card.

Robertson teaches an intelligent ID card holder (read device 200) for an intelligent ID card comprising:

a receptacle for receiving an intelligent ID card (smartcard 100) wherein the receptacle includes a cut away section (window opening 244) for viewing a portion of the ID card (col. 4, lines 28-41).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that a cut-out section would have been beneficial to the Emmost invention to facilitate simple removal of the card from

the card holder since the user could then visually locate the edge of the card. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Emmost with the aforementioned teaching of Robertson for ease of use for the ID card user.

Emmost/Robertson lack the teaching of a cutout.

Everett teaches an intelligent ID card reader comprising:

a receptacle including a cutout, wherein the reader is adapted to be placed on a string by way of the cutout and to be worn on a user's neck (col. 3, lines 38-40 and figure 1).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that providing the Emmoft/Robertson invention with a cutout would have been beneficial for allowing the holder to be readily accessible to a user whereby the holder is not stored away from plain view, but instead worn on the body of the user for easy access. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Emmoft/Robinson with the aforementioned teaching of Everett to make the process of card and holder communication simpler.

3. Claims 5, 6, 9-20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft/Robertson/Everett as applied to claims 1 and 31 above, and further in view of Barrett et al. (US 6,015,093) (hereinafter referred to as 'Barrett').

The teachings of Emmoft/Robertson/Everett have been discussed above.

Emmost/Robertson/Everett lack the teaching of first and second rails and the teaching of a battery mounted within the ID card holder.

Barrett teaches an IC card holder for an intelligent ID card comprising:

a receptacle for receiving the intelligent ID card, the receptacle including first and second guide rails for supporting the intelligent card (see figures 8a-8d); and

a battery (battery 802) mounted within.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the rails would have been beneficial to the Emmost/Robertson/Everett invention for securing the card while information is being communicated between the card and the reading device. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that a battery would have been beneficial to the Emmost/Robertson/Everett invention for providing direct power to the ID card holder so that the ID card holder does not have to rely on outside power sources to function properly. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Emmost/Robertson/Everett with rails of Barrett to ensure that proper communication of information takes place and to allow the ID card holder to independently power itself.

4. Claims 7, 8, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emmoft/Robertson/Everett/Barrett as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Bjorn et al. (US 6,125,192) (hereinafter referred to as 'Bjorn').

The teachings of Emmoft/Robertson/Everett/Barrett have been discussed above.

Emmoft/Robertson/Barrett/Everett lack the teaching of the user feature sensor being a camera sensor.

Bjorn teaches a user feature being a camera sensor mounted on the outside of a receptacle and coupled to a microprocessor to authenticate a user (col. 10, lines 46-61); and

wherein the camera is a CCD camera.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that the camera sensor is just one of a variety of sensors that may be utilized to uniquely identify a user. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Emmoft/Robertson/Everett/Barrett with the camera sensor as taught by Bjorn to facilitate the identification of the user.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/01/06 in view of the newly added limitation with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-23 and 31 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the Emmost, Robertson, Everett, Barrett, and Bjorn references in various combinations thereof.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Koenig (GB 2396330A) teaches a secure smartcard system with user authentication.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,504 Page 8

Art Unit: 2876

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,504 Page 9

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samara A. Frankli

Examiner Art Unit 2876

JAF July 10, 2006 JARED J. FUREMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER